

Bioethics Case Study Debate Rubric (30 pts.)

	5 "A"	4 "B"	3 "C"	2 "D"	1 "F"
Stakeholder description	Description of who the student is representing and how they have an interest in the case is clearly stated.	One mastery criteria is not met	Two mastery criteria are not met	Three mastery criteria are not met	Four or more mastery criteria are not met
Recommendation to Judge	Recommendation to the Judge regarding how to rule in the Washington University v. Catalonia case is clearly stated.	One mastery criteria not met	Two mastery criteria are not met	Three mastery criteria are not met	Four or more mastery criteria are not met
Support of Recommendation	Three key reasons for the recommendation are stated and explained.	One mastery criteria not met	Two mastery criteria are not met	Three mastery criteria are not met	Four or more mastery criteria are not met
Question/Answer	Student directs one on topic, polite and direct question to another student in the debate.	One mastery criteria not met	Two mastery criteria are not met	Three mastery criteria are not met	Four or more mastery criteria are not met